

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,906		03/29/2001	Alireza Raissinia	CISCP672	9028
26541	7590	10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
		KAPLAN	WAHBA, ANDREW W		
	RATOGA A GA, CA 9	AE. SUITE D1 05070		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
07.11.0	J., U.			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/822,906	RAISSINIA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew W Wahba	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica* - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 29 March 2001.					
	This action is non-final.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits					
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,9,10,13,14 and 17-20</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>3,4,7,8,11,12,15 and 16</u> is/are sold is/are sold claim(s) are subject to restriction	sithdrawn from consideration. s/are rejected. objected to.					
Application Papers	·					
	raminor					
, ·)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	***	, ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/08/02</u>.)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marchok et al (US Patent 6,122,246).

With regard to claim 1, Marchok et al discloses a pilot tone search mode in which the receiver (subscriber unit) scans (receiving) the frequency range of bins transmitted by transmitter 97 looking for the bin containing the frequency tone (toneset) (column 5, lines 54-58). Each receiver seeks to recover any one of these tones (leaving other tones) (column 5, lines 35-36). In tone acquisition mode, the receiver 150 switches to a steady state tracking mode in which the phase locked loop is used to constantly maintain synchronism with the transmitter 97 (column 6, lines 2-5). As the receiver maintains synchronization with the transmitter, it is inherent that there is communication (transmitting) between the two nodes. Once the bin containing the pilot tone subsymbol has been identified, the receiver makes a gross timing adjustment to receive the bin including the pilot tone sub-symbol in the correct predetermined bin location (column 5, lines 58-63). In this manner, the tone acts as applicant's access request burst.

Art Unit: 2661

With regard to claims 2 and 10, conversion between frequency domain and time domain is well known in the art.

With regard to claim 5, 17 and 18, Marchok et al discloses a pilot tone search mode in which the receiver (subscriber unit) scans (receiving) the frequency range of bins transmitted (sending) by transmitter 97 (central access point) looking for the bin containing the frequency tone (toneset) (column 5, lines 54-58). As the receiver maintains synchronization with the transmitter, it is inherent that there is communication (assigning at least one time slot) between the two nodes. Once the bin containing the pilot tone sub-symbol has been identified, the receiver (subscriber unit) makes a gross timing adjustment to receive the bin including the pilot tone sub-symbol in the correct predetermined bin location (column 5, lines 58-63). In this manner, the tone acts as applicant's access request burst.

With regard to claim 6, each receiver seeks to recover any one of these tones; therefore, there is access request information from other subscriber units (includes access request information) (column 5, lines 35-36).

With regard to claim 9, 13 and 14, Marchok et al discloses a pilot tone search mode in which the receiver scans the frequency range of bins transmitted by transmitter 97 looking for the bin containing the frequency tone (toneset) (column 5, lines 54-58). Each receiver seeks to recover any one of these tones (includes access request information) (column 5, lines 35-36). In tone acquisition mode, the receiver 150 switches to a steady state tracking mode in which the phase locked loop is used to constantly maintain synchronism with the transmitter 97 (column 6, lines 2-5). It is

Application/Control Number: 09/822,906 Page 4

Art Unit: 2661

inherent that a processor (MAC layer processor) performs the functions of the receiver, such as scaning and synchronization functions. A second processor would perform the functions of the transmitter, such as transmitting the bins. Once the bin containing the pilot tone sub-symbol has been identified, the receiver makes a gross timing adjustment to receive the bin including the pilot tone sub-symbol in the correct predetermined bin location (column 5, lines 58-63). In this manner, the tone acts as applicant's access request burst.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marchok et al (US Patent 6,122,246).

With regard to claims 19 and 20, Marchok et al discloses a pilot tone search mode in which the receiver (subscriber unit) scans (causes reception) the frequency range of bins transmitted (causes transmission) by transmitter 97 looking for the bin containing the frequency tone (toneset) (column 5, lines 54-58). Each receiver seeks to recover any one of these tones (leaving other tones) (column 5, lines 35-36). In tone acquisition mode, the receiver 150 switches to a steady state tracking mode in which

Application/Control Number: 09/822,906 Page 5

Art Unit: 2661

the phase locked loop is used to constantly maintain synchronism with the transmitter 97 (column 6, lines 2-5). As the receiver maintains synchronization with the transmitter, it is inherent that there is communication (assignment of at least one time slot) between the two nodes. Once the bin containing the pilot tone sub-symbol has been identified, the receiver makes a gross timing adjustment to receive the bin including the pilot tone sub-symbol in the correct predetermined bin location (column 5, lines 58-63). In this manner, the tone acts as applicant's access request burst.

Marchok et al does not disclose a computer program product as well as the corresponding codes and computer-readable medium that stores the codes. Whether the functions performed by Marckok et al are performed by a computer program or by another means is an obvious modification as functions performed in hardware may also be performed in software.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew W Wahba whose telephone number is (571) 272-3081. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,906

Art Unit: 2661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth N Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Wahba

September 30, 2004

CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Chru T. Kfugu

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600